

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,395	02/25/2002	Claude Andre	408.106A 6873	
20311	7590 05/30/2006		EXAMINER	
LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP			WARE, DEBORAH K	
	475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH 15TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	, NY 10016		1651	
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/084,395	ANDRE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Deborah K. Ware	1651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-10, 12-15 and 20.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Attachment A.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
DOW 165)			
1651			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added language regarding a particulate medium does not appear to be clearly supported by the specification as filed since the specification describes only particulate amino acids and not a particulate medium per se, thus, it is uncertain that the new language has support and may be new matter. Also upon an updated search of the newly proposed claims there were several references which may indicate an additional consideration of the newly proposed claim language over new art. (Applicants may note the updated search history print out in PAIR if so desired). A more detailed search and consideration of the newly proposed claims are presented after final of which will present new issues after final and so the after final amendments to not put the case into condition for allowance and will, therefore, not be entered.

DAVID M. NAFF

PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 128 1451

ATTACHMENT A

The arguments regarding a particulate medium are noted but because the claims' amendments after final are not being entered these arguments are considered moot. Rodriquez does teach amino acids in lyophilized form of which the claims of record do read on. The language of claim 1 merely reads on a medium or composition that contains amino acids in lyophilized form. The medium or composition is disclosed by the cited prior art of record. Also the medium or composition of Rodriquez does teach the presence of non-protein amino acid, note Table 2, and no where does the reference disclose the presence of human or animal substances. However, such language is in the preamble and is not necessarily given any patentable weight since it is not a positive recitation within the body of the claim itself.

Therefore, in response to Applicants' argument regarding the preamble and patent law: the determination of whether a preamble limits a claim is made on a case-by-case basis in light of the facts in each case; there is no litmus test defining when a preamble limits the scope of a claim. Catalina Mktg. Int 'I v. Coolsavings.com, Inc., 289 F.3d 801, 808, 62 USPQ2d 1781, 1785 (Fed. Cir. 2002). It is clear also from the record that secondary references applied in the 35 USC 103 ground of rejection do clearly teach dried medium as useful for culturing mites and hence to select for a dry medium would have been obvious for reasons of record. In light that the after final amendments are not entered and that most arguments are directed to non-entered subject matter, for

Application/Control Number: 10/084,395 Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

reasons of record the claims remain rejected under the ground of 35 USC 102/103 and 103 for the selected dependent claims of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah K. Ware whose telephone number is 571-272-0924. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Deborah K. Ware May 25, 2006